



**Montana Fish,
Wildlife & Parks**

**PO Box 200701 Helena, MT 59620-0701
(406) 444-9939**

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

PART I. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION

1. Project Title: Great Falls Shooting Sports Complex (GFSSC)

2. Type of Proposed Action:

Construction of 1000 yard shooting range pits.


3. Location Affected by Proposed Action: The Shooting Sports Complex is three miles north of Great Falls, Montana. Range complex is approximately 942.37 acres off of Ryan Dam Road. Formerly the Beckman property with Black Horse Butte on north edge of the property, but known locally as Radio Tower Hill from the microwave relay towers on top. Of the 942.37 acres, 582.37 are owned by Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) and the remaining 360 acres is owned by GFSSC.

FWP: Township 21 North, Range 4 East, M.P.M.


Section 15: NW $\frac{1}{4}$; Section 16: NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$

GFSSC: Township 21 North, Range 4 East, M.P.M.

Section 15: NE $\frac{1}{4}$; Section 16: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$



Map 1 – Area Map showing GFSSC location.



Map 2 – Black Horse Butte showing land ownership between FWP & GFSSC. Green areas are FWP property and red are GFSSC properties.




Figure 1 – Original Site Plan for GFSSC (CTA Architects Engineers). Range layout maybe slightly different from this original site plan.

4. Agency Authority for the Proposed Action: MCA87-1-276 through 87-1-279 (Legislative established policies and procedures for the establishment and improvement of shooting ranges) MCA87-2-105 (Departmental authority to expend funds to provide training in the safe handling and use of firearms and safe hunting practices). The Montana Legislature has authorizes funding for the establishment of a Shooting Range Development Program providing financial assistance for the development of shooting ranges. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks has responsibility for the administration of the program, including the necessary guidelines and procedures governing applications for funding assistance under the program.

To be eligible for grant assistance, a private shooting club or a private organization:

- (a)(i)shall accept in its membership any person who holds or is eligible to hold a Montana hunting license and who pays club or organization membership fees;
- (ii)may not limit the number of members;
- (iii)may charge a membership fee not greater than the per-member share of the club's or organization's reasonable cost of provision of services, including establishment, improvement, and maintenance of shooting facilities and other membership services; and
- (iv)shall offer members occasional guest privileges at no cost to the member or invited guest and shall make a reasonable effort to hold a public sight-in day each September, when the general public may use the shooting range for a day-use fee or at no cost; or

(b) shall admit the general public for a reasonable day-use fee.

5. Need for the Action(s): To accommodate high power matches target carriers are needed with target pits to protect target handlers raising and lowering the target carriers.

6. Objectives for the Action(s): Provide target pits for 15 target carriers and target handlers.

7. Project Size: Estimate the number of acres that would be directly affected:
The proposed improvements will be safely within a smaller area on the 1000 yard shooting range.

8. Affected Environment (A brief description of the affected area of the proposed project):

The surrounding area and most of range is or was formerly agricultural primarily used for dry land farming. Currently 278.07 acres of the GFSSC properties are characterized as too saline or too steep to farm. The GFSSC is surrounded by one farm operation. There are no delineated wetlands, nor natural water sources within the area proposed for development, but the range complex did contain 3 older man made reservoirs and other “wet” areas that are ephemeral and may occasionally contain water from snow melt and/or excessive rainfall.

9. Description of Project:

- Construction of an 8’ X 150’ concrete retaining wall with dirt placed against front.
- Construction of a 4’ X 150’ concrete overhang at top of retaining wall, to protect target handlers from incoming rounds.
- Construction of a 3’ X 150’ wooden deck, for target handlers to stand on.
- Remove approximately 5000 yards of dirt for the pit area.

In Accordance With (IAW) contracts agreements with Fish, Wildlife & Parks, all projects are to be completed by June 30, 2012.

10. List any Other Local, State, or Federal Agency that has Overlapping or Additional Jurisdiction:

(a) Permits, Licenses and/or Authorizations:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Permit</u>
NONE	

Funding:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Funding Amount</u>
Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks	\$25,676.88

11. Affiliations, Cooperating Agencies, User Groups and/or Supporting Groups:

Sportsmen Clubs: Safari Club, National Rifle Association, Montana Wildlife Federation, Mule Deer Foundation, Pheasants Forever, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, National Wild Turkey Federation,

Ducks Unlimited, Walleye's Unlimited.

Shooting Sports: Missouri River Shooters, Sun River Skeet Club, Russell Country Sportsmen, Ed McGiveran Pistol Club.

Government/Corporate Sponsors: PPL Montana, Cascade County, City of Great Falls, Three Rivers Communication

Law Enforcement: Great Falls City Police, Montana Highway Patrol, US Border Patrol, Montana CIB, Montana Probation & Parole, Malmstrom AFB Security Force, Montana Law Enforcement Academy, Cascade County Sheriff, FWP Law Enforcement, US Marshall Service, FBI, US Customs, US Fish & Wildlife Service, BIA Youth Education: 4H Shooting Program, Olympic Shooting Program, FWP Hunter Safety Program.

Fish Wildlife & Parks as owner of the majority of the property to be leased to a Board of Directors of the Great Falls Shooting Sports Complex, Inc.

12. History of the Planning and Scoping Process, and Any Public Involvement:

Extensive public involvement was not deemed necessary due to the previous completed EAs addressing future range plans. These previous EAs should be tiered for completion of this EA. Proposed range improvements and safety enhancements had been discussed within the membership of the club and with the associated project vendors and contractors. Board of Director's Meetings, are open to the GFSSC membership and the public. These meeting are the first Tuesday of every month, with a general membership meeting on the second Tuesday of January. This project and other proposals can be accessed on the GFSSC website where meeting minutes, newsletters, range schedules and other items of interest are posted for public viewing.

13. List of Agencies Consulted/Contacted During Preparation of the EA:

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

14. Name, Address and Phone Number of Project Sponsor:

John Sprague, PO Box 157, Great Falls, MT 59403, 868-6635
grizzsprague@yahoo.com

15. Other Pertinent Information: Previous EAs completed in 2001 (Acquisition of a Community Shooting Range), 2005 (Shooting Range Complex Plan and Construction), 2007, 2009, and 2010 (Continuation of Shooting Range Complex Plan) considered the building and improvements for all of the ranges proposed here. Completion of all the initial construction on those ranges is done and the new actions are the planned follow-on improvements or next phase. Consequently the 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2010 environmental assessment for the Great Falls Shooting Sports Complex is applicable here, and appropriate portions should be tiered for this EA. Although the 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2010 EAs addressed the specific actions proposed then, a broader analysis of the entire range complex's environment was conducted, with future improvements being addressed. Those broad environmental assessments should be tiered for use in the continuation of this project. A cultural resources inventory on 582.37 acres of the complex was conducted in November 2005. That inventory discovered five cultural sites and three isolated finds. This

information was then published in February 2007. Even though this EA may be tiered with the completed 2001, 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2010 EAs, to insure proper analysis the current EA checklist is used for these next phases of the development.

Shooting range applications require the participant's governing body to approve by resolution its submission of applications for shooting range funding assistance. Resolution Date: April 28, 2011.

PART II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

The proposed alternative A, alternative B and the no action alternative were considered.

- **Alternative A (Proposed Alternative)** is as described in Part I paragraph 10 (Description of Project) to 1000 yard protected shooting range pits
- **Alternative B (No Action Alternative)** Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Shooting Sports Grant money would be denied and the area will remain an active shooting complex without shooting range pits for the 100 yard range.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives

Two alternatives have been considered, **A** (Proposed Alternative) and **B** (No Action Alternative). There were no other alternatives that were deemed reasonably available, nor prudent.

Neither the proposed alternative (**A**) nor the no action alternative (**B**) would have any significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

- There are beneficial consequences to Acceptance of the **Proposed Alternative (A)** increasing shooting sports opportunities in the Great Falls area by providing protected shooting range pits for target carriers and target handlers.
- The **No Action Alternative (B)** would be to not provide protected 100 yard shooting range pits, but to continue on with shooting activities on the 1000 yard range without protective shooting pits. Land use would remain the same. Therefore the proposed alternative is the prudent alternative.

Describe any Alternatives considered and eliminated from Detailed Study:

NONE

List and explain proposed mitigative measures (stipulations):

NONE

PART III. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Abbreviated Checklist – The degree and intensity determines extent of Environmental Review. An abbreviated checklist may be used for those projects that are not complex, controversial, or are not in environmental sensitive areas)

Table 1. Potential impact on physical environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources				X		
2. Terrestrial or aquatic life and/or habitats				X		#2
3. Introduction of new species into an area				X		
4. Vegetation cover, quantity & quality				X		#4
5. Water quality, quantity & distribution (surface or groundwater)				X		#5
6. Existing water right or reservation				X		
7. Geology & soil quality, stability & moisture				X		#7
8. Air quality or objectionable odors				X		#8
9. Historical & archaeological sites				X		#9
10. Demands on environmental resources of land, water, air & energy				X		
11. Aesthetics				X		

Comments

2. & 5. There are no delineated wetlands and no natural water sources within the area proposed for development. There are 24 old reservoirs or waterholes in the area which were identified during the February 2007 Cultural Resources Inventory. During the surveys of August 2005 there was no water found in any of these sites and only wet mud and a small puddle in the largest “pond” east of the bentonite hill. The three “wetter” reservoirs are located in the area of the bentonite hill, and on the north side of Black Horse Butte and southeast side of Black Horse Butte along the access road. Two of these are on FWP property and the largest pond is on GFSSC property. These three man-made reservoirs have some water for most of the wet years and all three had water during this July 2007 survey. The larger pond area,

northeast of the bentonite hill, is designated as the future dog training area. There are no plans to eliminate, nor materially alter any of these ponds in the future. The other “so-called” water holes identified during the cultural inventory are ephemeral and only occasionally collect rain or snowmelt, and none of these are permanent surface water sources. There was no water found in any of these areas during the surveys of August 2005, nor again in July 2007. The majority of these “wetter” sites are on the GFSSC property and outside of the future proposals for development on the range. The primary aquifer is approximately 500 feet beneath the surface with minimal risk of pollution.

4. The elimination of vegetation for the implementation of the proposed action will not change the overall abundance and diversity of plant species within the area. The proposed projects occupy a very small portion of the individual properties on a previously disturbed area, and native vegetation is minimally present anywhere on the property. Therefore it is determined to have an insignificant impact on native vegetation in the area.

7. The project will cause limited displacement of soils but these improvements and construction will not substantially effect geological features or establish new erosion patterns. Soil disruption for this site is localized. Erosion control measures are in effect and disturbed areas are to be reseeded.

8. Minor and temporary dust and vehicle emissions would be created by construction equipment during the proposed improvements within this project area. However the construction time is short and human effects will be limited due to the sparse population in the surrounding area.

9. This project uses no federal funds, therefore, the Federal 106 Regulations does not apply, but the State Antiques Act does apply, when projects are on state owned properties. Previous archeological surveys on the shooting complex did not find any historical or archeological sites in current proposed project areas.

Table 2. Potential impacts on human environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Social structures and cultural diversity				X		
2. Changes in existing public benefits provided by wildlife populations and/or habitat				X		
3. Local and state tax base and tax revenue				X		
4. Agricultural production				X		#4
5. Human health				X		#5
6. Quantity & distribution of community & personal income				X		
7. Access to & quality of recreational activities				X		#7
8. Locally adopted environmental plans & goals (ordinances)				X		
9. Distribution & density of population and housing				X		
10. Demands for government services				X		
11. Industrial and/or commercial activity				X		

Comments

4. The site is adjacent to surrounding agricultural land. Agricultural leases are being pursued to continue dry land farming on portions of the property. Currently 278.07 acres of the property are characterized as too saline or too steep to farm.

5. Range site plans, construction and the ongoing operational and maintenance plans meet the standards of safety for the range participants and the public at large.

7. Range complex will provide year round controlled access and fulfils a need for a range to accommodate law enforcement training, hunter education, and public shooting. Individual public shooting opportunities are provided two days per month on the public range from April through September, and also additional public “site-in” opportunities before big game season. Additional range time may be provided for individual groups and organizations upon request. A schedule of

range times and availability can be found on the GFSSC website at www.GFSSC.net.

PART IV. NARRATIVE EVALUATION AND COMMENT

All of the pertinent or potential impacts of the project have been reviewed, discussed, and analyzed. None of the project reviewed were complex, controversial, or located in an environmentally sensitive area. The projects being proposed are on properties owned by Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks. The low impact activities proposed, and the increased recreational opportunity, all indicate that this should be considered the final version of the environmental assessment. There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative (A). The Great Falls Shooting Sports Complex's proposed alternative, to provide a safe regulated shooting opportunity is supported by its members and the public. Therefore, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks should approve the proposed alternative (A) for the expansion proposals outlined in Part I paragraphs 2 & 10.

Part III. Environmental Consequences

Does the proposed action involve potential risks or adverse effects which are uncertain but extremely harmful if they were to occur? NO

Does the proposed action have impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant? This proposed action has no impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant. Cumulative impacts have been assessed considering any incremental impact of the proposed action when they are combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, and no significant impacts or substantially controversial issues were found. There are no extreme hazards created with this project and there are no conflicts with the substantive requirements of any local, state, or federal law, regulation, standard or formal plan.

Recommendation and justification concerning preparation of EIS:

There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative, therefore an EIS is not required.

PART VI. EA CONCLUSION SECTION

Individuals or groups contributing to, or commenting on, this EA:

John Sprague, PO Box 157, Great Falls, MT 59403
Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

EA prepared by: GENE R. HICKMAN

M.S. Wildlife Management
Ecological Assessments
Helena, MT 59602

Date Completed: June 11, 2011

Describe public involvement, if any:

Announcement for EA comment will be published in the Great Falls Tribune and on the Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks website to allow an opportunity for public review. Additionally the EA will be available for review on the Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks website. Public comment will end at 5:00 PM on August 5, 2011.